



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,117	11/30/2000	Robert G. Arther	Mo-6049/MD-00-112-TG	2400

7590 09/24/2003
BAYER CORPORATION
400 MORGAN LANE
WEST HAVEN, CT 06516-4175

EXAMINER

QAZI, SABIHA NAIM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1616

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/727,117

Applicant(s)

ARTHER, ROBERT G.

Examiner

Sabiha Qazi

Art Unit

1616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1616

Acknowledgement is made of the response filed in paper no. 11, dated 7/2/03. Amendments are entered. Claims 1-10 are pending.

No claim is allowed at present time.

The arguments were fully considered but are found persuasive in part therefore, 112 rejection is withdrawn. Rejection over Dorn et al. is maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous office action because arguments are not found persuasive. Dorn et al. teaches the combination of pyrethroid and nicotinylic compound for the control of parasitic insects such as fleas, lice and flies. Furthermore, it also teaches that the active compounds can be present in the form of a mixture may be synergists or other active compounds such as pyrethroids etc., see lines 5-10 in col. 17.

The present specification discloses the combination of a pyrethroid and a chloronicotinyl insecticide which provides enhanced activity against ticks and mites, while maintaining the activity of chloronicotinyl compounds against fleas", (lines 20-23 on page 3). Synergistic effect of the combination with chloronicotinylic (imidazloprid) and pyreththroid (premethrin) is disclosed.

Court has decided that a single species is seldom, if ever, sufficient to support a generic claim. In re Shokal, 242 F.2d 771, 113 USPQ 283, 285 (C.C.P.A. 1957), See also In re Grimme, 274 F. 2d 949, 124 USPQ 499, 501 (C.C.P.A 1960). Objective of nonobviousness must be commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims. In re Tiffin, 171 USPQ 294. A showing limited to

Art Unit: 1616

a single species can hardly be considered probative of invention's nonobviousness in view of breadth of the claims.

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorn et al. (US Patent 6,232,328). Dorn et al. teach the combination of pyrethroid and nicotinylic compound for the control of parasitic insects such as fleas, lice and flies, which embrace presently, claimed invention. See the entire document especially lines 8-10 and lines 35-68 in col. 17; lines 10-26 in col. 18; lines 5-10, lines 25-30 in col. 1.

Instant claims differ from the reference in claiming specifically synergistic combination and method of treating wherein prior art teaches that the active compounds can be present in the form of a mixture may be synergists or other active compounds such as pyrethroids etc., see lines 5-10 in col. 17.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to prepare additional beneficial composition for controlling insects because prior art teaches control of insects by the same combination of compounds as taught by the prior art. Since the synergistic combination is taught by the prior art therefore there is a motivation to prepare the formulation for controlling insects.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is 703-305-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on every business day..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The

Art Unit: 1616

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.



SABIHA QAZI, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER